LEADING REGENERATION



Sinus Floor Elevation

Treatment Concepts



"Geistlich Family supports your way to regeneration – together for more satisfied patients"

Dr. Andreas Geistlich

CONTENTS

3	Maxillary sinus floor augmentation
4-5	Classical treatment options and important aspects in maxillary sinus at a glance
6-13	Clinical Cases: Lateral Sinus Floor Elevation Assoc. Prof. Stephen Wallace, USA Prof. Angelo Menuci Neto, Brazil Prof. Yong-Dae Kwon, South Korea Assoc. Prof. Prisana Pripatnanont, Thailand
14-15	Treatment Options Maxillary Sinus Area
16-17	Clinical Case: Crestal Sinus Floor Elevation Dr. Feng Bo, China
18-23	Clinical Cases: Sinus Floor Elevation and Bone Aug Dr. Devorah Schwartz-Arad, Israel Dr Mehdi Merabet, France Dr. Joao Batista César Neto, Brazil
24-25	Clinical Case: Prevention of Sinus Floor Elevation Dr Ryan SB Lee, Australia
26	Recommended Material Combinations References

nentation

27 Product Range



Maxillary sinus floor augmentation

Continued bone loss following tooth extraction, bone atrophy and the proximity of the maxillary sinus to the site of implant placement are major challenges in implant dentistry. To overcome this issue Hilt Tatum pioneered the surgical methodology for maxillary sinus augmentation, which was published by Philip Boyne in 1980.¹ Particulated cancellous bone and marrow harvested from the lateral iliac crest was used as bone replacement material and autogenous bone became the "gold standard" bone replacement material. However, in 1996 Wheeler et al. demonstrated that the use of hydroxyapatite alone or in combination with autogenous bone leads to similar morphometric results (16.4% and 19.3% bone volume respectively).² The elimination of a second surgical site for extraoral bone harvesting, allowed the surgical procedure to be performed at the dental office, making it easier to tolerate for patients.

Sinus floor elevation

Bone resorption (with increasing age or after the loss of a premolar or molar) and secondary pneumatization of the sinus due to the reduced functional forces on the bone after tooth extraction frequently leave insufficient residual bone height for successful implant placement.³ Sinus floor elevation procedures allow residual bone augmentation using bone replacement material to increase bone volume and quality.

By the transcrestral osteotome (Summers technique⁴) or lateral antrostomy (lateral window, pioneered by Tatum⁵) approach, a mucoperiosteal pocket is formed over the maxillary floor, a bony access to the Schneiderian membrane is achieved, beneath the Schneiderian membrane, which is subsequently filled with the bone replacement material (e.g. Geistlich Bio-Oss[®]) and covered with a native bilayer collagen membrane (e.g. Geistlich Bio-Gide[®]). The most common complication during sinus floor elevation procedures is perforation of the Schneiderian membrane. Covering the perforation with native bilayer collagen membrane (e.g. Geistlich Bio-Gide[®]) serves as protection during the surgical procedure and post-operative healing.⁶

The initially remaining residual bone height determines the surgical approach for sinus augmentation and the choice between immediate or delayed implant placement. The recommendations issued by the Sinus Consensus Conference in 1996 are based on the vertical dimension of the residual bone between the alveolar crest and the maxillary sinus floor (Table 1).⁷ Sinus elevation procedures can also be implemented for single

implant-supported restorations. Using Geistlich Bio-Oss[®] in combination with autogenous bone material covered with Geistlich Bio-Gide[®] both lateral antrostomy (1- and 2-stage procedure) and the osteotome technique have been associated with 100% implant survival after a follow-up of at least two years (44.5 \pm 22.7 months).⁶

Residual bone height	Recommended procedure		
>10 mm (class A)	 Classical implant procedure 		
	Extraction Socket Management	Minor Bone Augmentation	
	See "Treatment Concepts for Extraction Sockets"	See "Treatment Concepts for Minor Bone Augmentation"	
7–9 mm (class B)	> Osteotome technique> Immediate implant placement		
4–6 mm (class C)	> Lateral antrostomy > Bone replacement material > Immediate or delayed implant placement		
1–3 mm (class D)	 > Lateral antrostomy > Bone replacement material > Delayed implant placement 		
General remark	Immediate implant placement is not recommended with residual bone height <4mm or poor bone quality		

Table 1: Recommended procedure depending on residual bone height. Sinus Conference Consensus 1996. $^7\,$

Sinus floor elevation vs. ridge preservation

Sinus floor elevation is a complex surgical procedure, which causes severe discomfort for the patient. As an alternative, ridge preservation directly after tooth extraction is a minimally invasive approach, which allows preservation of >90% of bone volume in the posterior region and bone regeneration within 6 months.⁸ Following ridge preservation, standard implant placement can usually be performed without the need for additional bone augmentation.⁹

Short implants

Adequate bone quality and volume are prerequisites for successful implant placement and long-term stability.^{10,11} Implant length needs to be carefully selected in relation to the anatomical situation. Even though long implants are considered the best option, their use may not always be possible. In these cases, short implants (<10 mm) are a valid alternative. Advances in surface geometry and texture have increased the bone-implant contact area, leading to improved primary stability and long-term osseointegration.¹²

However, short implants have certain limitations, such as an unfavorable crown-to-implant ratio, poor aesthetics in the anterior atrophic maxilla and difficult plaque control. In addition, in cases with marginal bone loss, the risk of implant failure is increased due to reduced bone to implant contact.¹³

Classical treatment options and important aspects in maxillary sinus at a glance

Lateral antrostomy (lateral window) technique¹⁴

- > Well-documented and reliable procedure
- > Implant survival rates of 61.2% to 100%
- > Perforation of the Schneiderian membrane occurs in 10% to 20% of case
- > Immediate or delayed implant placement depending on residual bone heigh



Transcrestral osteome technique¹⁴

- Can be used for wide ridge and relatively flat anatomy
- > Requires initial residual bone height ≥5 mm
- > Immediate implant placement
- Perforation of the Schneiderian membrane can be difficult to manage

Geistlich Bio-Oss[®] and Geistlich Bio-Gide[®] – long-term success with the Dream-Team

Geistlich Bio-Oss[®] and Geistlich Bio-Gide[®] are the leading bone substitute and barrier membrane in regenerative dentistry.^{15,16}

Geistlich Bio-Oss[®] in sinus floor augmentation:

- \sim allows effective and predictable bone regeneration ¹⁷⁻¹⁹
- > contributes to long-term stability and maintenance of bone
- > use as only augmentation material, leads to a 98.2% implan
- survival rate (follow-up 3 years).----
- > makes the inclusion of autologous bone unnecessary
- which both lead to excellent clinical and histomorphometric performance.²¹

Geistlich Bio-Gide[®] as protection of the grafted area:

- > significantly increases newly formed bone compared to procedures without membrane coverage (various graft materials).²²
- > increases implant survival rate by 5% to reach up to 98.6% (grafting material Geistlich Bio-Oss[®]).²³
- > serves as protection during the surgical procedure and post-operative healing.⁶

Sinus pneumatization

Physiological process of continuous volume increase during growth, lasting until complete eruption of the third molars.

Secondary pneumatization

Occurs after extraction of a posterior tooth in adults and leads to increased sinus volume at the expense of the alveolar ridge.

Sinus floor elevation

Increase of bone volume, providing the basis for functional and predictable implantsupported restoration.

Loss of premolar or molar

State of States

Tooth loss causes a reduction of residual bone height.

Lateral Sinus Elevation with 13-year follow-up



Assoc. Prof. Stephen Wallace | New York, USA

CLINICAL CHALLENGE

A 63-year-old female patient presents with hopeless maxillary teeth and a desire for a fixed reconstruction. A staged case was planned with the canines used as abutments for a fixed provisional while sinus augmentation and delayed implant placement were being accomplished. The case presented with 1-2mm of crestal bone in the molar sites. During sinus augmentation surgery the thin Schneiderian membrane on the right ride was extensively torn. A collagen membrane repair procedure was performed and bilateral sinus augmentations were completed with Geistlich Bio-Oss® as the sole grafting material covering the lateral window with a Geistlich Bio-Gide® membrane. After an extended delay (financial) implants were placed in the grafted sinuses and a bone core

harvested through the lateral window. The anterior teeth were extracted and the provisional was extended to the posterior implants. Implants were later placed in the canine sites and the final prosthesis was fabricated. The final follow-up is 13 years on from the sinus augmentation.

AIM/APPROACH

There are 3 interesting challenges in this case:

- Sinus augmentations performed with 100% Geistlich Bio-Oss[®] when only 1–2mm of crestal bone remains
- 2. Achieving a successful result in the face of an extensive perforation
- 3. Assuming some loss of the existing crestal bone due to biologic width formation, the molar implants have existed with Geistlich Bio-Oss[®] likely at the crest for close to 10 years.

CONCLUSION

- Cases with severe pneumatization can be grafted with Geistlich Bio-Oss[®] as the sole grafting material.
- Geistlich Bio-Oss[®] alone, covered with a Geistlich Bio-Gide[®] membrane can give successful results in compromised clinical situations. Histomorphometric evaluation showed 31% vital bone with all implants successful by any guidelines.
- Having Geistlich Bio-Oss[®] exposed at the crest did not result in periimplantitis.

Clinical outcome at a glance

OBJECTIVES

> Staged sinus floor elevation with 100% Geistlich Bio-Oss® and Geistlich Bio-Gide® when only 1–2mm crestal bone remains.

Eived maxillany reconstruction on both sides

> Fixed maxillary reconstruction on both sides.

> Successful implant placement 1-year after sinus floor elevation.

CONCLUSIONS

AFTER

> Two-stage sinus floor elevation treatment.

> Successful sinus floor elevation of severe pneumatization with

Geistlich Bio-Oss[®] and Geistlich Bio-Gide[®] shows stable bony volume after 13-year follow-up.

BEFORE





□ One-stage
🛛 Two-stage

□ Bone Regeneration



1 Preoperative panoramic radiological view after posterior tooth extraction.	2 Preoperative paraxial radiological view of the right sinus showing limited crestal bone of 1–2 mm.	3 Intraoperative view of the right sinus showing extensive perforation and the beginning of repair with suture "struts".
4 Completion of repair with a collagen membrane and bone tacks.	5 Sinus floor augmentation with Geistlich Bio-Oss [®] prior to placing Geistlich Bio-Gide [®] membrane to cover the lateral window.	6 1 year postoperative panoramic radiological picture showing both augmented areas.
7 1 year paraxial radiological picture of the right sinus showing excellent graft containment.	8 Bone core was taken between the distal implants on the right side (red arrow) prior to the radiological picture of implant placement after 1-year sinus floor elevation.	 9 Histological picture of the harvested bone core showing 31% newly formed bone (dark orange). 25% Geistlich Bio-Oss[®] particles (light orange) and 44% bone marrow.
10 Postoperative radiological situation after 1.5 years. Anterior teeth removed, canine fixtures placed and provisional is on sinus fixtures.	11 Radiological picture showing final prosthesis in place at 10 years.	12 Clinical view of the final prosthesis after13 years – right side.

Lateral sinus floor elevation with a bony fenestration of the sinus floor



Prof. Angelo Menuci Neto, MSC, DDS & Prof. Washington Santana, PHD. MSC | Porto Alegre & Goiania, Brazil

CLINICAL CHALLENGE

The patient described here is a 56-year old non-smoker and in good general health. She reported a history of dental extraction in right side of the posterior maxillary region about 3 months earlier. The treatment plan envisages a fixed prosthetic restoration, and to achieve this goal, a surgical procedure of sinus augmentation prior to implant placement was performed.

AIM/APPROACH

A full-thickness flap was raised to expose the lateral wall of the sinus. After osteotomy was performed, the sinus membrane was carefully elevated and space was created for the bone graft under the sinus membrane. Then a cut out part of Geistlich Bio-Gide[®] was used to obliterate the fenestration in the lower osseous wall of the sinus. The grafting material Geistlich Bio-Oss® was gently packed into the sinus cavity, followed by placement of Geistlich Bio-Gide[®] shaped and positioned to cover the antrostomy window. After 8 months, a dental implant was inserted into the grafted site. The prosthetic rehabilitation was performed 4 months after installing the implant.

CONCLUSION

This clinical case, with 12-month follow-up, showed the employment of Geistlich Bio-Oss[®] and Geistlich Bio-Gide[®] in sinus floor elevation for the purpose of rehabilitation with dental implants. The good prosthetic result achieved confirms the excellent osteocondutive properties for osseointegration.

Clinical outcome at a glance

OBJECTIVES

- > Sinus floor elevation with lateral window approach.
- > Fixed prosthetic restoration in the posterior maxilla.
- > Sinus floor elevation prior to implant placement.

CONCLUSIONS

AFTER

- > Two-stage sinus floor elevation treatment.
- > This clinical case shows excellent osteoconductive behavior of
- Geistlich Bio-Oss[®] and uneventful healing of Geistlich Bio-Gide[®]. > Good prosthetic results due to excellent osseointegration of the implant in the augmented site.

BEFORE





APPROACH	TREATMENT	ADDITIONAL MEANS
🛛 Lateral	🛛 Geistlich Bio-Oss®	□ One-stage
Crestal	⊠ Geistlich Bio-Gide®	🖾 Two-stage
□ Bone Regeneration		



1 Preoperative picture of the area intended to be treated.

Goiânia, Brazil).

- **2** Application of the Geistlich Bio-Gide[®] to seal bone fenestration in sinus floor.
- 3 Covering bony defect on the sinus floor with Geistlich Bio-Gide[®].
- 4 Closing bony defect on the sinus floor 5 Sinus floor augmentation using ${\bf 6}~$ Lateral window to the sinus cavity is covered with Geistlich Bio-Gide® before filling the Geistlich Bio-Oss[®]. with Geistlich Bio-Gide[®]. sinus floor cavity. 7 Panoramic radiography view after a follow-up 8 Clinical situation and bone volume at the **9** Implant placement at the augmented sinus floor. time of 8 months prior to reentry and implant augmented site after 8 months. placement. **10** 4 months after implant placement the final **11** Final periapical radiography after 12 months. 12 12-month follow-up panoramic radiography. restoration was performed with a three-unit bridge prosthesis (Dr. Álvaro Martins/

Lateral sinus floor elevation in the presence of an antral pseudocyst and simultaneous implant placement



Prof. Yong-Dae Kwon | Seoul, South Korea

CLINICAL CHALLENGE

A middle-aged male came to the clinic for dental implant placement. The panoramic radiography showed a hazy shadow in the right maxillary sinus. A CBCT scan was taken for further evaluation and revealed a dome-shaped radiopacity. The radiographic impression was an antral pseudocyst. In the region of the 1st molar the residual bone height was enough to avoid a lateral window approach. But the morphology of the residual ridge in the 1st molar area and the presence of an antral pseudocyst were not favorable indicators for a transcrestral sinus floor elevation.

AIM/APPROACH

In order to ensure that the radiopacity would be nothing but a non-symptomatic antral pseduocyst, sinus floor elevation via the lateral window technique was planned. After opening up a bony window, I was able to aspirate the small cystic lesion with yellowish mucus. During the procedure, a perforation was found and successfully repaired with a Geistlich Bio-Gide[®].

CONCLUSION

Geistlich Bio-Gide[®] is because of its easy manipulation and its good adhesion to the Schneiderian membrane a great product for the perforation repair and should be properly trimmed and placed to ensure it spreads sufficiently to completely cover the perforation.

Clinical outcome at a glance

OBJECTIVES

> Lateral sinus floor elevation using Geistlich Bio-Oss Pen[®] and Geistlich Bio-Gide[®].

> Augmentation is simultaneous with implant placement in sites 16 and 17.

> Aspiration of a small systic lesion in the sinus cavity.

CONCLUSIONS

- > One-stage sinus floor elevation treatment.
- > Very promising clinical outcome with the use of Geistlich Bio-Oss Pen[®] and Geistlich Bio-Gide[®].
- > Geistlich Bio-Gide[®] is very suitable for repairing a perforated Schneiderian membrane.

BEFORE



AFTER



APPROACH	TREATMENT	ADDITIONAL MEANS
🛛 Lateral	🛛 Geistlich Bio-Oss® Pen	⊠ One-stage
Crestal	🛛 Geistlich Bio-Gide®	□ Two-stage
□ Bone Regeneration		



- **1** Preoperative intraoral view showing the clinical situation.
- **2** In the preoperative CBCT scan, an antral pseudocyst was observed.
- **4** Careful membrane elevation was performed from the opposite side of the perforation.
- 7 Geistlich Bio-Gide® was trimmed to repair the perforation. Two horizontal cuts were made at the upper ¼ of the part. This ¼ upper part was placed outside the sinus cavity on the lateral wall.
- **10** The bony window was relocated to its original position for covering the lateral window.
- 5 The elevation around the perforation was performed without propagation of the perforation.
- 8 The trimmed Geistlich Bio-Gide® was placed to cover the perforated Schneiderian membrane. The upper ¼ of Geistlich Bio-Gide® was outside of the cavity and the lower ¾ part was inserted inside.
- **11** Postoperative panoramic radiographic image. Site with augmented sinus floor and 2 dental implants placed simultaneously are visible.

- **3** After lateral window preparation, a perforated Schneiderian Membrane was visible.
- **6** The antral pseudocyst was aspirated with a needle through the perforated membrane.
- 9 Easy and fast application of Geistlich Bio-Oss Pen® into the cavity. Please note the upper ¼ part of Geistlich Bio-Gide® was kept in place by the tip of the Geistlich Bio-Oss Pen®.
- **12** Clinical picture at the 1-year follow-up time after the final restoration. (mirror image).

Lateral sinus floor elevation with large sinus membrane perforation



Assoc. Prof. Prisana Pripatnanont, DDS | Hatyai, Thailand

CLINICAL CHALLENGE

In this case a long term follow-up of a sinus floor augmentation was carried out. A large Schneiderian membrane perforation was repaired using Geistlich Bio-Gide[®] and platelet-rich fibrin (PRF), and a sinus floor grafting was performed with Geistlich Bio-Oss® particles. A 61-year old woman came to the dental office and asked for an implant-supported prosthesis in the area of the left 2nd premolar and 1st molar. The edentulous area had a bone height of 4–5 mm. A sinus floor elevation was planned followed after 7 months by implant placement. During the opening of the lateral window to the sinus floor. a Schneiderian membrane perforation occurred caused by the rotary bur due to a very thin Schneiderian membrane. In order to repair the complete perforation site

Geistlich Bio-Gide[®] and PRF were used to cover the perforation. Afterwards the sinus floor was elevated with 1 g of Geistlich Bio-Oss[®] particles. The implant had been placed 7 months after augmentation with a good primary stability. Prosthesis construction was done 4 months after implant placement. After a 2-year follow-up period, the implant showed no marginal bone resorption, the bone grafting area showed minimal volume change and good support to the implants.

AIM/APPROACH

To demonstrate the efficiency of Geistlich Bio-Gide[®] for repairing a large Schneiderian membrane perforation and good long term stability of Geistlich Bio-Oss[®] granules in sinus graft procedures showed minimal volume change.

CONCLUSION

Geistlich Bio-Gide[®] is effectively used for repairing major sinus membrane perforation and sinus augmentation with Geistlich Bio-Oss[®] granules is effective in bone support and stable volume.

Clinical outcome at a glance

OBJECTIVES

> Sinus floor elevation using Geistlich Bio-Oss® and Geistlich Bio-Gide®.

CONCLUSIONS

> Two-stage sinus floor elevation treatment.

> Effective bone support and stable bone volume by using Geistlich Bio-Oss[®].
 > Effective application of a Geistlich Bio-Gide[®] in a large Schneiderian membrane perforation.

BEFORE





APPROACH	TREATMENT	ADDITIONAL MEANS
🛛 Lateral	Geistlich Bio-Oss®	□ One-stage
□ Crestal	Geistlich Bio-Gide®	🖾 Two-stage
Bone Regeneration		

Regenerati



- 1 Areas 25, 26 planned for sinus augmentation via lateral approach and later implant placement.
- 4 Geistlich Bio-Gide[®] covers the PRF before filling the grafting material.
- 7 Periapical film immediately post-operative shows Geistlich Bio-Oss[®] grafting material distributed in the augmented area.
- **10**7 months after sinus augmentation, two implants with primary stability were placed. The augmented area shows well integrated Geistlich Bio-Oss® granules surrounded with newly formed bone.

- 2 Intraoperative picture shows sinus window and large perforation of sinus membrane size 5x10 mm at the lower border of the window.
- 5 Geistlich Bio-Oss[®] was used as a grafting material which was mixed with serum from platelet-rich fibrin and filled in the sinus lifted space.
- 8 Cone beam CT at seven months post-operatively shows better density of augmented area and Geistlich Bio-Oss® granules were aggregated together and confined to the repair zone.
- **11** One year after sinus augmentation a complete crown restoration had been achieved.

- 3 Intraoperative picture after membrane lifting and covering sinus membrane perforation with platelet-rich fibrin (PRF).
- 6 Intra-oral view shows immediate post-operative wound.
- 9 7 months after sinus augmentation the implant placement was planned. The augmented area shows Geistlich Bio-Oss® granules at a higher density compared to the immediate post-op situation.
- 12 Two years and 3 months after sinus augmentation shows the sinus graft to be in a stable condition with minimal volume change.

Treatment Options Maxillary Sinus Area





"The high reliability of Geistlich biomaterials for sinus floor elevation has been shown in numerous studies"

Dr. Pascal Valentini | Paris, France



Double crestal sinus floor elevation



Dr. Feng Bo | Hunan, China

CLINICAL CHALLENGE

Alveolar bone resorption in posterior maxilla and maxillary sinus pneumatization always challenges the implant placement. Maxillary sinus floor elevation and onlay bone grafting are the regular methods for solving these problems. Two main approaches for the maxillary sinus floor elevation procedure are now widely used in dental clinics. Lateral antrostomy is mostly used in severe alveolar bone resorption but one of the drawbacks of the lateral antrostomy is that it requires the raising of a large flap for surgical access. The crestal approach is considered to be a more conservative method. This case report demonstrates a minimally invasive technique for the management of vertical ridge defects (less than 1mm) in the posterior maxilla. The doublecrestal-approach provides clinicians a

simple, convenient and minimally invasive approach compared to the regular lateral antrostomy.

AIM/APPROACH

Treatment was carried out under local anaesthesia with local buccal and palatal infiltrations. The proposed implant site was marked with a trephine drill. The depth was controlled within the alveolar bone to protect the sinus membrane from perforation. Then the round bone block was gently tapped and pushed into the maxillary sinus using the sinus lift osteotome. By using the trephine drill, the cut bone block can be used. While the bone block was gently pushed into sinus, the sinus membrane was elevated at the same time. The bone block provides the osteoblast while the connected sinus membrane provides the blood supply. The osteoblast and blood supply are the key factors for

bone ossification. The Schneiderian membrane near to the bone block was slightly dissected using an antral curette. Then the bone block was elevated again for about 4 mm. A space is created by the intruded bone block. This space is then grafted with Geistlich Bio-Oss[®]. Geistlich Bio-Gide[®] was adapted to overlay the osteotomy site. The second sinus floor elevation was performed six months later with the same procedure.

CONCLUSION

The dual-crestal approach combined with the placement of a short implant is an efficient method for overcoming the severe posterior maxilla bone resorption. Elevating the sinus floor by a crestal approach twice reduces the use of bone grafting material, saves surgical time and cost, and leads to fewer postoperative complications.

Clinical outcome at a glance

OBJECTIVES

> Dual sinus floor elevation with dual-crestal approach using Geistlich Bio-Oss® and Geistlich Bio-Gide®

CONCLUSIONS

> Dual-crestal sinus floor elevation using Geistlich Bio-Oss® and Geistlich Bio-Gide[®] in combination with short implant placement overcome severe bone resorption.

> Fewer postoperative complications using Geistlich Biomaterials in combination with dual-crestal sinus floor elevation.



> Short implant placement due to severe bone resorption.



AFTER



APPROACH	TREATMENT	ADDITIONAL MEANS
□ Lateral	⊠ Geistlich Bio-Oss®	□ One-stage
⊠ Crestal	⊠ Geistlich Bio-Gide®	🖾 Two-stage
Bone Regeneration		

1 Preoperative CBCT of posterior maxillary area 2 Intraoperative picture showing the thin alveolar 3 First crestal sinus floor elevation in region 27 shows the alveolar bone's height in region 27 is bone after full-thickness flap elevation. using the sinus lift osteotome to gently elevate about 1mm. the sinus floor for about 4mm. 4 Elevation of the Schneiderian Membrane from 5 Augmentation of the elevated sinus floor with **6** Covering the regions 26 and 27 with the sinus floor using an antral curette. Geistlich Bio-Oss[®]. Geistlich Bio-Gide® to protect the augmentation site and prevent soft tissue ingrowth. 7 Radiological picture showing the augmented site 9 Postoperative clinical situation of the final 8 6 months postoperative radiological picture in the maxillary cavity after the first crestal sinus showing the second crestal sinus floor elevation restoration after 18 months. floor elevation. using Geistlich Bio-Oss® and Geistlich Bio-Gide® and implant placement. **10** Postoperative radiological picture of the final 11 Clinical picture at recall 2 years after the **12** Postoperative radiological picture after 2 years restoration 18 months. final restoration showing a stable periodontal with stable bone augmentation. situation.

Lateral sinus floor elevation with 20-year follow-up



Dr. Devorah Schwartz-Arad, DMD, PhD | Tel-Aviv, Israel

CLINICAL CHALLENGE

A 62-old female healthy patient was referred to the Schwartz-Arad surgical center for an extraction of the first right maxillary molar. This clinical case was performed in 1997 with a 20-year follow-up period. The region of interest is the right maxillary molar. In this region the 1st right maxillary molar was untreatable due to a perio-endo defect. The first and second right maxillary premolars were missing as well. The existing bridge included the right maxillary canine and the first molar. The available alveolar ridge was not sufficient for implant placement due to the pneumatization of the right maxillary sinus and the endo-perio-lesion of the tooth. The patient came for a treatment to obtain a fixed prosthetic restoration. The clinical challenge was to simultaneously reconstruct the ridge volume in the vertical dimension via a sinus augmentation

procedure, and ridge preservation at the time of tooth extraction, for second stage implants placement.

AIM/APPROACH

Sinus augmentation procedure via lateral window approach²⁴

Prophylactic oral pre-medication of amoxicillin (1 g) and dexamethasone (8 mg) an hour before the procedure and a local application of 0.5% chlorhexidine for 2 min. An incision was made on the alveolar crest and a vertical incision distal to the tuberosity was made to allow bone harvesting from this area to be mixed with the Geistlich Bio-Oss® A window was cut through the lateral sinus wall cortex using a slow-speed round bone bur. At this point, the sinus membrane was carefully elevated from the sinus floor and medial sinus wall. Geistlich Bio-Gide® was used to repair the perforation. Since primary implant

stability could not be attained, a delayed 2-stage implant placement was performed 5 months later. The maxillary sinus compartment was augmented with Geistlich Bio-Oss[®]. The fenestrated lateral wall of the maxillary sinus was covered with a Geistlich Bio-Gide[®] and the mucoperiosteal flap repositioned and sutured with a 3/0 Vicryl.

CONCLUSION

This case, with 20 year follow-up, is only one example of many patients with insufficient alveolar ridges, treated in the Schwartz-Arad surgical center with Geistlich Bio-Oss[®]. Bone augmentation using Geistlich Bio-Oss[®] and Geistlich Bio-Gide[®] for the sinus augmentation procedure is a predictable treatment approach for the long run. Furthermore, sinus membrane perforation did not influence implant success negatively when corrected with Geistlich Bio-Gide[®].

Clinical outcome at a glance

placement after 5 months.

OBJECTIVES

> Sinus floor elevation through a lateral window.

> Bone augmentation of the maxillary sinus cavity prior to implant

CONCLUSIONS

- > Two-stage sinus floor elevation treatment.
- > Sinus floor elevation using Geistlich Bio-Oss[®] and Geistlich Bio-Gide[®] leads to long-term clinical success.
- > Perfect prosthetic situation after 20-year follow-up.

BEFORE





APPROACH	TREATMENT	ADDITIONAL MEANS
🛛 Lateral	⊠ Geistlich Bio-Oss®	□ One-stage
Crestal	⊠ Geistlich Bio-Gide®	🖾 Two-stage
Bone Regeneration		



- 1 Radiological preoperative panoramic view in 1997. Region of interest is on the right side.
- 2 Clinical preoperative view of the right side in 1997.

Geistlich Bio-Oss[®] in the sinus floor cavity.

8 5 months after sinus floor augmentation clinical

situation at the time the implants were placed.

5 Intraoperative view after placing

- 4 Intraoperative view after placing Geistlich Bio-Gide[®] in the maxillary sinus cavity to repair the Schneiderian membrane perforation.
- 7 Occlusal view 5 months after sinus floor augmentation with Geistlich Bio-Oss[®] and Geistlich Bio-Gide[®].
- **10** After permanent restoration 2.5-year follow-up view of the clinical situation.
- **11** Radiological picture after 20-year follow-up with stable bone volume on the right side.
- 3 Right maxillary sinus augmentation, with severe Schneiderian membrane perforation, before using Geistlich Bio-Oss® and Geistlich Bio-Gide®.
 6 Repositioning of the lateral window, covering the lateral window with a Geistlich Bio-Gide®, implant placement in region 14 and ridge preservation in region 15, 16.
 - 9 Clinical situation 5 months after sinus augmentation and after implant placement.

12 Buccal clinical view after 20-year follow-up.

Lateral sinus floor elevation in combination with 3D via F. Khoury's technique



Dr. Mehdi Merabet | Marseille, France

CLINICAL CHALLENGE

- A pneumatized sinus cavity was localized in the area of the anterior maxilla.
- > Due to the atrophic alveolar crest it was impossible to place the implant in a correct position to achieve an appropriate esthetic rehabilitation.

AIM/APPROACH

- Lateral sinus floor elevation with Geistlich Bio-Oss[®] was performed in the area of the right canine/premolar. In this case the minimal invasive lateral window approach allows a better blood supply in the reconstructed region.
- > Following the sinus floor elevation a bone reconstruction of the alveolar crest was performed with 3D using F. Khoury's technique. The bone block was harvested from the ramus. After preparation of the two blocks each were fixed with a screw in the upper frontal area. The residual spaces between and around the blocks were filled with a mix of Geistlich Bio-Oss[®] particles and autogenous bone chips.

CONCLUSION

- Grafting with Geistlich Bio-Oss[®] particles in the sinus cavity maintains the long term stability of the regenerated bone volume. It provides the patient a comfortable and predictable solution.
- Reconstructing the alveolar crest with 3D via F. Khoury's technique in combination with the use of Geistlich Bio-Oss[®] minimizes the volume/size of the harvested bone block. Due to the slow resorption rate of Geistlich Bio-Oss[®] particles the bone volume is maintained and therefore the aesthetic result.

Clinical outcome at a glance

OBJECTIVES	CONCLUSIONS
 > Later sinus floor elevation in combination with bone regeneration with	 > Two-stage sinus floor elevation treatment. > Sinus floor elevation using Geistlich Bio-Oss[®] maintains long term stability
3D using F. Khoury's technique. > Fixed prosthetic restoration in the upper and anterior area.	of the augmented bone volume.

> Using Geistlich Bio-Oss[®] particles in combination with autologous bone chips limits the resorption rate of the augmented site.

BEFORE





APPROACH	TREATMENT	ADDITIONAL MEANS
🛛 Lateral	🖾 Geistlich Bio-Oss®	□ One-stage
□ Crestal	□ Geistlich Bio-Gide®	🖾 Two-stage
🛛 Bone Regeneration	🛛 autologous bone	



- Preoperative radiography: panoramic slice of CT scan showing pneumatized sinus in canine area, combined with a coronal slice (n° 49) highlighting an atrophic alveolar crest.
- 4 Alveolar crest reconstruction with a bone block (F. Khoury's technique). After fixation of the bone block the residual space was filled with a mix of 50% of autogenous bone and 50% Geistlich Bio-Oss[®].
- 7 Second surgery at 6-months postoperative: osteosynthesis screw is removed and dental implants are placed in the right 3D position according to the aesthetic project.
- **10** Occlusal intraoral view 15-months postoperative showing the tissue volume reconstructed and implant placement.

- **2** Intraoral buccal view showing an atrophic alveolar crest.
- **5** Modified cross and U sutures in order to maintain the flap airtight.
- 8 Intraoral view 12 months postoperative showing the 3rd surgery: temporary prosthesis restoration combined with a bilateral connective tissue graft from the palate.²⁵
- **11** 6-year postoperative radiography showing a stable bone volume.

- 3 Sinus floor elevation with a minimal invasive lateral approach filled with Geistlich Bio-Oss[®] particles.
- **6** 6-month postoperative radiography: panoramic slice of CT scan showing sinus graft result combined with a coronal slice (n° 53) highlighting a horizontal bone reconstruction.
- **9** 15-month postoperative radiography showing the temporary prosthesis in function.

12 Intraoral clinical view after 6-years postoperative showing a stable tissue volume.

Lateral sinus floor elevation in combination with guided bone regeneration



Dr. Joao Batista César Neto, Dr. Luiz Antonio Ruy | Sorocaba, Brazil

CLINICAL CHALLENGE

A difficult case of oral rehabilitation with a particular challenge at regions 15 and 16. The patient had a car accident some years before and was hit in this area. It resulted in an anatomical change to the sinus with partial loss of buccal sinus wall and scar tissue inside part of the sinus.

AIM/APPROACH

During flap reflection, the scar tissue was dissected and part of it removed. After this step, a Geistlich Bio-Gide[®] membrane was placed to isolate the fibrotic tissue present in the superior part of the sinus. Then the sinus membrane was elevated in the medial region of the sinus. The sinus cavity was filled with Geistlich Bio-Oss[®] which was also used to regenerate the ridge deficiency. Geistlich Bio-Gide[®] was stabilized buccally with bone tacks and packed in the palate.

CONCLUSION

This approach was able to regenerate both the sinus and ridge bone deficiency in one surgery.

Clinical outcome at a glance

OBJECTIVES

> Challenging due to anatomic variations caused by previous car accident.

> Sinus floor elevation with lateral window approach in combination

with a guided bone regeneration.

> Implant placement at 10 months after bone augmentation.

BEFORE



CONCLUSIONS

> Two-stage sinus floor elevation treatment.

> A sinus floor elevation in combination with a guided bone regeneration using Geistlich Bio-Oss® and Geistlich Bio-Gide® leads to stable bone volume for implant placement.



APPROACH	TREATMENT	ADDITIONAL MEANS
🛛 Lateral	🛛 Geistlich Bio-Oss®	□ One-stage
Crestal	🛛 Geistlich Bio-Gide®	⊠ Two-stage
⊠ Bone Regeneration		



Sinus Floor Elevation and Bone Augmentation

- 1 Pre-operative occlusal view.
- 2 Cut to size Geistlich Bio-Gide[®] covers fibrotic tissue present in the superior part of the sinus.
- 4 Final position of Geistlich Bio-Gide[®] used to isolate fibrotic tissue caused by previous trauma.
 5 Geistlich and also first third
- 7 Immediate postoperative, a combination of horizontal mattresses and single interrupted sutures.

10 Sutures after implant placement.

- . . .
- 5 Geistlich Bio-Oss[®] placed inside the sinus and also correcting the bone deficiency in the first third of the ridge.
- 8 Occlusal view of the regenerated tissue at implant surgery, 10 months after the bone grafting.
- **11** Panoramic radiographic 3 years after the bone grafting. Note the stability of the regenerated tissue and that both sides were restored.

- 3 Geistlich Bio-Gide[®] trimmed for ridge reconstruction and stabilized with bone tacks placed apically to the sinus window.
- 6 Final position of Geistlich Bio-Gide[®]. It was positioned buccally with bone tacks and packed under the palatal flap. Note: remaining pieces were used to additionally isolate the buccal area.
- 9 Implant position. Note that narrow implants
 (3.25 x 10 mm and 3.25 x 8 mm) were used due to the limited space in the edentulous region.
- **12** Clinical image of the final restoration 3 years after the bone grafting and 2 years and 2 months after implant placement.

Alveolar ridge preservation in posterior maxillary teeth for prevention of sinus floor elevation procedures



Dr. Ryan SB Lee, Dr. Lisetta Lam, Prof. Saso Ivanovski | Brisbane, Australia

CLINICAL CHALLENGE

The combination of alveolar bone resorption and sinus pneumatization following tooth extraction reduces available vertical bone height for future implant placement and increases the need for sinus augmentation. Techniques for sinus augmentation such as transalveolar or lateral window approaches, which despite good predictability, are likely to cause additional patient morbidity, increase the risk of complications (e.g. sinus membrane perforation) and increase treatment costs and time.^{26,27} Another alternative treatment approach may involve the use of short implants (< 6 mm in length) in the posterior maxilla, however there is currently insufficient clinical evidence regarding its long term success.28,29

AIM/APPROACH

Alveolar ridge preservation in the posterior maxilla was performed to reduce the need for sinus augmentation procedures. CT scans were taken prior to extraction to assess the baseline vertical bone height. Following extraction, the socket was thoroughly debrided to remove all inflammatory or infective tissues, then, the integrity of the buccal plate was inspected. Deproteinized bovine bone mineral (Geistlich Bio-Oss[®], 0.25-1.0 mm) were incrementally and firmly packed into the socket 0.5mm above the alveolar crest. Porcine collage membrane (Geistlich Bio-Gide[®]) was then trimmed and covered the socket to prevent the loss of the grafted particles and provide the wound stability. Furthermore, an internal criss-cross suture³⁰ technique was used to achieve membrane and graft stabilization without primary

closure. Postoperative antibiotics and antiseptic mouth-rinses were prescribed. Sutures were removed after two weeks. After a healing period of 4 months, a clinical review and post-extraction CT scan were performed to assess any changes in vertical ridge height and sinus volume.

CONCLUSION

Alveolar ridge preservation following extraction of maxillary posterior teeth may minimize post-extraction remodelling and sinus pneumatization, thus reducing the need for sinus augmentation procedures prior to implant placement.

Clinical outcome at a glance

OBJECTIVES

> Alveolar ridge preservation in the posterior maxillary dentition.

> Reduction of needs for sinus augmentation procedures.

CONCLUSIONS

> Alveolar ridge preservation with Geistlich Bio-Oss® and Geistlich Bio-Gide® reduces the need for a sinus augmentation procedure prior to implant placement.



APPROACH	TREATMENT	ADDITIONAL MEANS
□ Lateral	⊠ Geistlich Bio-Oss®	⊠ One-stage
Crestal	🛛 Geistlich Bio-Gide®	□ Two-stage
Bone Regeneration		

☑ Bone Regeneration



- 1 16 root fracture, failed root-canal treatment and close proximity to sinus floor. Alveolar bone crest to horizontal line along sinus floor: buccal 6.2 mm, mid-ridge height 8 mm, palatal 8.1 mm.
- 4 Extraction of 16 without raising a full-thickness mucoperiosteal flap; intact buccal socket wall. Socket debridement. Socket dimension: mesial-distal 9.5 mm, buccolingual 10.5 mm.
- 7 An internal criss-cross suture (Hidden X) technique is used to achieve the membrane and wound stabilization without primary closure.
- 10 CT scan for implant planning with an 8mm implant. Grafting material visible on CT images. Vertical bone height measurements (buccal cortex height 6.3 mm, palatal cortex height 7.1 mm).

2 Pre-operative clinical presentation with 3 unit bridge (16–14).

5 Alveolar ridge preservation using Geistlich Bio-Oss® (0.25–1.0 mm) packed and slightly overfilled the socket above the alveolar crest (0.5 mm).

8 Healing socket at 16 and suture removal. Note the granulation tissue formation on the exposed membrane.

11 Full thickness mucoperiosteal flap raised, Geistlich Bio-Oss[®] particles have incorporated into the healed alveolar ridge. Minor horizontal and vertical dimensional changes noted.

- 3 The bridge sectioned and the pontic (15) removed, presenting 2–3 mm CAL (clinical attachment level) loss at 16 mesial and 14 distal.
- **6** Geistlich Bio-Gide[®] membrane application to cover the grafting materials.
- **9** Healing socket at 16 after 4 months showing an uneventful wound closure.
- **12** Implant placement at 16 (Tissue level 8 mm long implant). No further grafting required.

Recommended Material Combinations

	Lateral Sinus Floor Elevation	Crestal Sinus Floor Elevatoion	Sinus Floor Elevation and Bone Augmentation	Prevention of Sinus Floor Elevation
BONE REPLACEMENT MATERIALS				
Geistlich Bio-Oss [®] Granules 0.25–1 mm 0.25 g ~ 0.5 cm ³ , 0.5 g ~ 1.0 cm ³		()		
Geistlich Bio-Oss [®] Granules 0.25–1 mm 1.0 g ~ 2.0 cm ³ , 2.0 g ~ 4.0 cm ³				
Geistlich Bio-Oss [®] Granules 1–2 mm 0.5 g ~ 1.5 cm ³ , 1.0 g ~ 3.0 cm ³ , 2.0 g ~ 6.0 cm ³			6	
Geistlich Bio-Oss Pen® Granules 0.25–1 mm 0.25 g ~ 0.5 cm ³				
Geistlich Bio-Oss Pen® Granules 0.25–1 mm 0.5 g ~ 1.0 cm ³			()	())
Geistlich Bio-Oss Pen® Granules 1–2 mm 0.5 g ~ 1.5 cm ³	()		(
Geistlich Bio-Oss[®] Collagen 100 mg ~ 0.2–0.3 cm ³ , 250 mg ~ 0.4–0.6 cm ³		۲	۲	۲
MEMBRANES				
Geistlich Bio-Gide®* 13 x 25 mm				6
Geistlich Bio-Gide [®] 25 x 25 mm	9			
Geistlich Bio-Gide® 30 x 40 mm			6	
Geistlich Bio-Gide [®] Compressed* 13 x 25 mm		C		٢
Geistlich Bio-Gide [®] Compressed* 20 x 30 mm	C		C	٢

* Product availability may vary from country to country

References

- 1 Boyne PJ et al. 1980. Journal of oral surgery 38(8):613-616. (clinical study)
- 2 Wheeler SL et al. 1996. The International journal of oral & maxillofacial implants 11(1):26-34. (clinical study)
- 3 Lee J-E et al. 2014. World Journal of Clinical Cases : WJCC 2(11):683-688. (clinical study)
- 4 Summers RB. 1994. Compendium (Newtown, Pa.) 15(2):152, 154-156. (clinical study)
- 5 Tatum H, Jr. 1986. Dental clinics of North America 30(2):207-229. (clinical study)
- 6 Krennmair G et al. 2007. The International journal of oral & maxillofacial implants 22(3):351-358. (clinical study)
- 7 Jensen OT et al. 1998. The International journal of oral & maxillofacial implants 13 Suppl:11-45. (clinical study)
- 8 Rasperini G et al. 2010. The International journal of periodontics & restorative dentistry 30(3):265-273. (clinical study)
- 9 Weng D et al. 2011. Eur J Oral Implantol 4 (2011) Supplement:59-66. (clinical study)
- 10 Chrcanovic BR et al. 2014. Journal of oral rehabilitation 41(6):443-476. (clinical study)
- 11 Glauser R et al. 2001. Clinical implant dentistry and related research 3(4):204-213. (clinical study)
- 12 Jain N et al. 2016. Journal of clinical and diagnostic research : JCDR 10(9):Ze14-ze17. (clinical study)
- 13 Palacios JAV et al. 2017. Clinical oral investigations. (clinical study)
- ITI Treament Guide Volume 5, Sinus Floor Elevation Procedures. (preclinical study)
 iData Research Inc., US Dental Bone Graft Substitutes and other Biomaterials Market,
- 15 iData Research Inc., US Dental Bone Graft Substitutes and other Biomaterials Market, 2015. (preclinical study)

- 16 iData Inc., European Dental Bone Graft Substitutes and other Biomaterials Market, 2015. (preclinical study)
- 17 Aghaloo TL et al. 2007. The International journal of oral & maxillofacial implants 22 Suppl:49-70. (clinical study)
- 18 Traini T et al. 2007. Journal of periodontology 78(5):955-961. (clinical study)
- 19 Sartori S et al. 2003. Clinical oral implants research 14(3):369-372. (clinical study)
- 20 Erdem NF et al. 2016. Implant dentistry 25(2):214-221. (clinical study)
- 21 Chackartchi T et al. 2011. Clinical oral implants research 22(5):473-480. (clinical study)
- 22 Wallace SS et al. 2003. Annals of periodontology 8(1):328-343. (clinical study)
- 23 Wallace SS et al. 2005. The International journal of periodontics & restorative dentistry 25(6):551-559. (clinical study)
- 24 Schwartz-Arad D et al. 2004. J Periodontol. Apr;75(4):511-6. (clinical study)
- 25 Hürzeler MB1, Weng D. 1999. Int J Periodontics Restorative Dent. Jun;19(3):279-87. (clinical study)
- 26 Pjetursson et al. 2008. Journal of clinical periodontology (35), 216-240. (clinical study)
- 27 Tan et al. 2008. J Clin Periodontol. Sep;35(8):241-54. (clinical study)
- 28 Fan et al. 2017. Clin Implant Dent Relat Res. Feb;19(1):207-215. (clinical study)
- 29 Thoma et al. 2015. J Clin Periodontol. 2015 Jan;42(1):72-80. (clinical study)
- 30 Park et al. 2016. J Periodontal Implant Sci. 2016 Dec;46(6):415-425. (clinical study)

Product Range*













Geistlich Bio-Oss®

Small granules (0.25–1 mm) | Quantities: 0.25 g, 0.5 g, 1.0 g, 2.0 g (1 g ~ 2.05 cm³) Large granules (1–2 mm) | Quantities: 0.5 g, 1.0 g, 2.0 g (1 g ~ 3.13 cm³)

The small Geistlich Bio-Oss[®] granules are recommended for smaller 1–2 socket defects and for contouring autogenous block grafts. The large Geistlich Bio-Oss[®] granules enable improved regeneration over large distances and provide enough space for the in-growing bone.

Geistlich Bio-Oss® Collagen

Geistlich Bio-Oss[®] (small granules) + 10% collagen (porcine) Sizes: 100 mg (0.2–0.3 cm³), 250 mg (0.4–0.5 cm³), 500 mg (0.9–1.1 cm³)

Geistlich Bio-Oss[®] Collagen is indicated for use in periodontal defects and extraction sockets. Through the addition of collagen, Geistlich Bio-Oss[®] Collagen can be tailored to the morphology of the defect and is particularly easy to apply.

Geistlich Bio-Oss® Pen

Small granules (0.25–1 mm) | Quantities: 0.25 g \sim 0.5 cm³, 0.5 g \sim 1.0 cm³ Large granules (1–2 mm) | Quantity: 0.5 g \sim 1.5 cm³

Geistlich Bio-Oss[®] granules are available in an applicator. It allows the bone substitute material to be applied more precisely to the surgical site. Geistlich Bio-Oss[®] Pen is available with either the small granules or the large granules.

Geistlich Bio-Gide®

Sizes: 13 × 25 mm, 25 × 25 mm, 30 × 40 mm

Geistlich Bio-Gide[®] protects the grafted area and supports soft tissue healing with its bilayer structure – a rough side that faces the bone and a smooth side that faces the soft tissue. Geistlich Bio-Gide[®] is easy to handle: it can be positioned easily, adheres well to the defect, and is resistant to tension and tearing.

Geistlich Bio-Gide® Compressed

Sizes: 13 × 25 mm, 20 × 30 mm

Geistlich Bio-Gide[®] Compressed is the product twin to Geistlich Bio-Gide[®]. It combines the proven biofunctionality of Geistlich Bio-Gide[®] with a different feel. Its bilayer structure protects the graft and supports wound healing. Geistlich Bio-Gide[®] Compressed is easy to handle and can be positioned easily.

* Availability may vary from country to country











More details about our distribution partners: www.geistlich-biomaterials.com

Manufacturer

Geistlich Pharma AG Business Unit Biomaterials Bahnhofstrasse 40 6110 Wolhusen, Switzerland Phone +41 41 492 55 55 Fax +41 41 492 56 39 www.geistlich-biomaterials.com

Affiliate Australia and

New Zealand Geistlich Pharma Australia and New Zealand The Zenith – Tower A Level 19, Suite 19.01 821 Pacific Highway NSW 2067 Chatswood, Australia Phone +61 1800 776 326 Fax +61 1800 709 698 info@geistlich.com.au www.geistlich.com.au

Affiliate Great Britain

and Ireland Geistlich Sons Limited 1st Floor, Thorley House Bailey Lane Manchester Airport Manchester M90 4AB, Great Britain Phone +44 161 490 2038 Fax +44 161 498 6988 info@geistlich.co.uk www.geistlich.co.uk

Affiliate North America

Geistlich Pharma North America Inc. 202 Carnegie Center Princeton, NJ 08540 USA Phone toll-free +1 855 799 5500 info@geistlich-na.com www.geistlich-na.com

Distribution Canada

HANSAmed Ltd. 2830 Argentia Road Unit 5–8 L5N 8G4 Mississauga, Canada Phone +1 800 363 2876 Fax +1 800 863 3213 orders@hansamed.net www.hansamed.net



Geistlich Biomaterials – 100% regeneration expertise

- Production of innovative bio-derived products for bone and soft-tissue regeneration.
- From research, development and production to marketing: 100% regeneration expertise under one roof.
- > More than 165 years of experience in bone and collagen processing.
- > Close ties with the international dental and scientific community to find solutions to improve patient's quality of life.
- Our pioneering regenerative dentistry products include the Geistlich Bio-Oss[®], Geistlich Bio-Gide[®], Geistlich Mucograft[®] and Geistlich Fibro-Gide[®] product families.

